PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the COTTON 1 COTTON 11 COTTON 1

10/518209

PCT

Johnstone, Douglas Ian BARON & WARREN 19 South End, Kensington London W8 5BU GRANDE BRETAGNE

BARON & WARREN

RECEIVED

2 2 JUL 2004

KSW | SEM | // MEC | //
ARW 9/2 BJ | ACC |
SECOB | TM* 2 M /COMP. DARK No.

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

20.07.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02767

MR/38022

To:

International filing date (day/month/year)

27.06.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

28.06.2002

Applicant

ALPHA THAMES LTD et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Kermani, N

Tel. +49 89 2399-7740



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference MR/38022 International application No. PCT/GB 03/02767		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
		International filing date (day/month/year, 27.06.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.06.2002			
Internation E21B43/		r both national classification and IPC				
Applicant ALPHA	ΓHAMES LTD et al.	y.:	en e			
		xamination report has been prepared by he applicant according to Article 36.	this International Preliminary Examining			
2. This	REPORT consists of a total	al of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet	· ·			
□	been amended and are th	e basis for this report and/or sheets cont ion 607 of the Administrative Instructions	description, claims and/or drawings which have taining rectifications made before this Authority sunder the PCT).			
3. This	roport contains indications	relating to the following items:				
		relating to the following items.				
ł	Basis of the opinion					
11	☐ Priority	A continuo cotto de constato d				
111		of opinion with regard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability			
IV V	☐ Lack of unity of inver ☐ Reasoned statemen citations and explana		velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI	☐ Certain documents of	•	,			
VII	☐ Certain defects in the	e international application				
VIII		on the international application	Marie Committee (1997)			
Date of subi	nission of the demand	Date of comple	tion of this report			
26.01.200	04	20.07.2004	20.07.2004			
	nailing address of the internation	onal Authorized Office	Authorized Officer			
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	Bellingacci, I	F			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02767

ı		Rad	eie	of	the	re	no	rt
ı	- 1	ua:	212	O.	uic	10	$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$	

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages	\cdot				
	1-9		as originally filed				
	Cla	aims, Numbers					
	1-1	8.	as originally filed				
	Dra	awings, Sheets					
	1/2	-2/2	as originally filed				
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the lang u guage in which the in	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pub	olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a translated the Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).				
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that t in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02767

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,4,8,9,11,12,16-18

No: Claims

1,2,5-7,10,13-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

17, 18

No: Claims

1-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

عيا جويزان م

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/02767 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = US 4 052 703 A

D2 = GB 2 299 108 A

D3 = US 5 154 741 A

V - V - Reasoned statement under Art. 35(2) PCT

- V-1 D1, which is considered as the closest prior art, describes a system for extracting subsea hydrocarbon fluid comprising at least three discrete subsea developments (w, fig. 1) for hydrocarbon extraction and a hydrocarbon receiving facility (P) linked by a pipeline network configured to permit:
 - a) diversion of fluid from at least one of the subsea developments selectively to one or more of the other developments (lines L, fig. 1); and
 - b) conveyance of fluid from each of the subsea developments to the receiving facility (col. 5, lines 15-18).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new and the claim does not meet the corresponding requirement of Art. 33(2) PCT.

- V-2 The subject-matter of claims 2, 5-7, 10, 13-15 is also not new. In particular:
 - cl. 2: see closed ring of pipelines I in fig. 1;
 - cl. 5, 6: see col. 5, lines 12-16;
 - cl. 7: see col. 10, lines 50-62;
 - cl. 10: see col. 6, lines 53-56;
 - cl. 13: see col 5, lines 25-31:
 - cl. 14, 15: see fig. 4

None of said claims meets therefore the novelty requirement of Art. 33(2) PCT.

- V-3 It is known to provide a subsea satellite system with a plurality of receiving facilities, and to direct the effluent from any well to any of the receiving facilities (see D2, abstract). The subject-matter of claim 3 represents therefore an obvious alternative to the design known from D1 and the claim does not meet therefore the inventive step requirement of Art 33(3) PCT. As it should be also assumed that the control system according to D2 direct the fluid to the "best" storing place also the subject-matter of claim 11 does not involve an inventive step.
- V-4 The subject-matter of claims 4 and 12 is obvious in the light of the combined teaching of D1 and D3, abstract and fig. 1.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/02767 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- V-5 The subject-matter of claims 8, 9 and 16 appears to amount to standard design solutions.
- V-6 None of the cited documents teaches to convey fluid from a first subsea development to a second subsea development on the basis of "requirements" and "surplus" for / of a fluid type and therefore claim 17, together with dependant claim 18 meets the requirements of Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- V-7 The following objections are also raised:
 - a) The independent claims are not properly cast in the two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the prior art (D1) being placed in the preamble, contrary to the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT.
 - b) The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
 - c) Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in D1 to D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are said documents identified therein.